





United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/838,623	04/19/2001	Clifford Jack Ciesa	DN2001094	3590	
7:	590 09/25/2003				
	Tire & Rubber Compa	EXAMINER			
1144 East Mark Akron, OH 44	ket Street, D/823 316-0001	VARGOT, MATHIEU D			
	,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			1732		
			DATE MAILED: 09/25/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Applicant(s)

Office Action Summary

69/838,623 Examiner

Application No.

roup Art Unit

	μ.	VARGOT	1732	
-Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	on the cove	r sheet beneath t	he correspondence add	ness—
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE		NTH(S) FROM THE MAILI	NG DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replict NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturent and provided the reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	ly within the sexpire SIX (6) te, cause the	tatutory minimum of the MONTHS from the mapplication to become	thirty (30) days will be consider ailing date of this communicati a ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 13	red timely. on. 33).
Status				
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
☐ This action is FINAL.			•	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for	or formal ma	atters, prosecutio	n as to the merits is clos	sed in
accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935	C.D. 1 1; 45	3 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
X = 1 - 9				
Of the above claim(s)				deration.
X Claim(s) 4, 6 + 7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is	/are allowed.	
\times Claim(s) $1-3$, $5 + 9$		is	/are rejected.	
□ Claim(s)		is	/are objected to.	
□ Claim(s)				election
Application Papers			equirement	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		•	proved.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objecte	d to by the	Examiner		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)				
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority un	der 35 U.S.0	C. § 119 (a)–(d).		
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:				
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rec	eived.		,	
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rec		olication No		
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents I				
in this national stage application from the International E				
*Certified copies not received:	•	,		. •
Attachment(s)				
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	. 4	□ Intervi w	Summary, PTO-413	
Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	·		Informal Patent Application	n DTA_152
•			mornar Faterit Applicatio	
□ Notice of Draftsperson's Pat nt Drawing Revi w, PTO-948		. ⊔ Oπ r		
Office Acti	ion Summa	nry		

Application/Control Number: 09/838,623

Page 2

Art Unit: 1732

1. Claims 1-3, 5 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being

indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 3 is drafted as an independent claim and hence items such as "the chamber flow" and "the

rim" lack antecedent basis. It is unclear whether claim 3 was intended as a dependent claim (due

to the presence of "further comprises" at line 2) or as an independent claim (as apparently

drafted). If the former, then language such as --of claim 1-- should be inserted at line 2 after

"tires". Also, in claim 1, penultimate line, "reached" should be --reaches. For consistency, claim

5, line 2, "a" should be --the--. Claim 9, lines 1-2, "and centrally directed" does not make sense --

should "and" be --are--?

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fike -367.

The applied reference discloses curing a tire (apparently) in a mold using a rim which seals the tire

beads, inflating the tire and curing same. It is submitted that the interior of the tire as sealed by

the rim constitutes the instant curing chamber. It is further submitted inherent that there must be

additional mold pieces surrounding the inflated tire as it is cured, or otherwise there would be no

shaping of the tire as it is cured, and hence a mold in combination with the rim and inflated tire

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/838,623

Art Unit: 1732

must also exist during the curing. Along the rim, pressure relief valve 24 would allow for depressurizing the mold, or more precisely the chamber within the tire, and the relief valve as depicted in Figures 3 and 4 points upwardly -- ie, orthogonal to the attachment of the tire to the rim. Hence, instant claim 3, as an independent claim, is submitted to have been met by Fike -367.

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's 3. disclosure. The additionally cited are discloses pressure relief valves in tire curing operations. However, none of the art of record shows the instant frangible member on a central rim which acts as a relief valve upon attainment of a certain pressure above a set tire curing pressure.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner 4. should be directed to M. Vargot whose telephone number is 703 308-2621.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308-0661.

M. Vargot

September 17, 2003

M. Vargot

9/17/03